



SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/438,612	05/10/05			
00/436,612	05/10/95	AGRAWAL	R	
				EXAMINER
DDIOS US		15N1/1004	SLUBY, P	
695 KENMOOR	ELD COOPER	DEWITT AND LITTON	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P 0 80X 256	5E 7			7
GRAND RAPIDS	S MI 4950:	1		
			1509	
			DATE MAILED:	10/04/95
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA	from the examiner in	charge of your application.		10/04/95
COMMISSIONER OF PA	TIENTS AND THAD	EMARKS		
		,		
, i		_		
This application has	been examined	Responsive to communication filed on		This action is made fina
A shortened statutory per	riod for response to t	his action is set to expire month(s),	V Varie	om the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within	the period for respon	use will cause the application to become abandon	ed. 35 U.S.C. 133	an the date of this letter.
) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
	erences Cited by Exa	= = :		tent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Cited by Applicant, P		e of Informal Patent	Application, PTO-152.
3. Dimornation of	1 HOW to Effect Draw	ing Changes, PTO-1474 6. L		•
Part II SUMMARY OF	ACTION			
1. X Claims /	22837-	61		
Of the above				
	ve, claims		are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	3-36			have been cancelled.
3. Claims				
4 VI Ctaims /-~	22 637	-61		
- T	<u> </u>	*/		_ are rejected.
6. Claims		are	subject to restrictio	n or election requirement.
7. This application h	as been filed with inf	ormal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are a	cceptable for exami	nation purposes.
_		nse to this Office action.		
		inus book specified		
are acceptable	e; Inot acceptable	(see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent	Under 37 C. Drawing Review. P1	F.R. 1.84 these drawings (O-948)
-				•
examiner; dis	approved by the exa	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on miner (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
		•		
		, has beenapprove		
12. Acknowledgement	t is made of the claim	of for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified co	opy has 🔲 been re	ceived not been received
		al no; filed on		
13. Since this applicat	tion apppears to be in	condition for allowance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
accordance with the	ne practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
14. Other				

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

Serial No. 08/438,612 Art Unit

1509

In the instant specification on page 1, first sentence, the abandoned status of parent Serial No. 07/773,236 should be updated.

Claims 23-36 have been cancelled without prejudice. pending claims remaining are 1-22 and new claims 37-61.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claims 1-22 and 37-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112. first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the language "when cured" is speculative and indefinite because it represents a future embodiment. Furthermore, the phrase "an effective" is speculative and indefinite because it is not clear as to what forms the parameters to cause the adhesive to form "an effective" bond.

Claims 4, 10, 15 and 21 are rejected because the use of a trademark does not adequately define the invention. instant case, the trademarked material be altered to the detriment of the present invention. These claims should be

Art Unit 1509

revised by deleting reference to the trademark and by inserting a generic description of the material as set forth in the specification.

In claims 37, 50 and 51, the term "assembly" has no express antecedent basis.

Applicants are required to clarify and/or correct these deficiencies and objections.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-16, drafted to a mirror mounting button, windshield arrangement, and claims 37-41, 52 and 53, drawn to an interior rear view mirror mounting system, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ryan in view of Stewart, Aikens et al. and the Adhesives Handbook.

Art Unit 1509

The former Ryan reference describes a mirror assembly "secured to the interior surface of a windshield through the use of a sheet or layer of polyvinyl butyral resin or other suitable resinous material or adhesive layer (note column 2 at lines 49-54). The windshield is a laminated glass panel as shown in Figures 1 and 2 as well as item 21.

Stewart is also directed to mirrors that are adhesively attached to automobile windshields. Furthermore Stewart teaches adhesives such as acrylates and epoxies (note column 1 at lines 49-65). Suitable materials are also disclosed in column 6 at lines 52-58. It is well known to have mirrors which have been detached from windshields repaired through the use of thermosetting adhesives designed to activate at room temperature. The Adhesives Handbook, lists commonly used curing agents for epoxies to achieve cold or hot-setting systems at page 41. Furthermore, aromatic amines are used as curing agents.

Accordingly, it is obvious to one having average skill in this technology to employ thermosetting adhesives to meet desired conditions of temperature and the like as suggested by Stewart and as noted by the auto mirror technology to join mirror mounting brackets to windshields as shown in Ryan.

Furthermore, Aikens et al. at column 2, lines 66-68 show a "button" type mounting bracket.

Art Unit 1509

Claim 37 specifies a one-package adhesive film. Ryan teaches a sheet or layer, i.e. the adhesive may be in film or sheet form.

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ryan in view of Stewart, Aikens et al., the Adhesives Handbook further in view of Dressler.

Applicants are referred to the preceding rejection for a discussion of the first four former references as applied to claims 1 and 37. It is noted that Ryan provides a flat gluing face on the back of the mounting bracket while applicants claim a surface with a perimeter groove. It is notorious in the bonding arts to have a recess for the purpose of containing excessive adhesive during bonding. Dressler is directed to a supporting device and teaches a trough around the perimeter of the gluing face. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having average skill in this technology at the time the invention was made to use a groove or trough to contain excessive adhesive on the mounting bracket presented by Ryan.

Claims 42-51 and 54-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ryan in view of Stewart, Aikens et al., the <u>Adhesives Handbook</u> and further in view of <u>Structural</u>

<u>Adhesives</u> and <u>Concise Guide to Structural Adhesives</u>.

Applicants are referred to the previous rejection for a discussion of Ryan, Stewart, Aikens et al. and the Adhesives

Art Unit 1509

Handbook. These claims are directed to matters of choice, such as the hardener and thickness. The adhesives may be modified as taught in the Adhesives Handbook on page 40 and the epoxy may be modified with amine compounds and hardeners as suggested in Structural Adhesives on pages 60-63. To use a glue line thickness in the range as instantly recited, applicants are referred to the Concise Guide to Structural Adhesives on page 5, third paragraph.

-6-

A facsimile center has been established in Crystal Mall 1, Room 7C03. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 A.M. to 4:45 P.M. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 308-0039. This new location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence to Group 1500. Use of the new Crystal Mall 1 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to the Group. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Sluby whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

PCSluby:cdc

9-29-95

P. C. SLUBY
FRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500

Pesluby